# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

| JOHN H. COLEMAN,           | ) |                    |
|----------------------------|---|--------------------|
|                            | ) |                    |
| Plaintiff,                 | ) |                    |
|                            | ) |                    |
| v.                         | ) | No. 4:11CV1759 HEA |
|                            | ) |                    |
| GENE STUBBLEFIELD, et al., | ) |                    |
|                            | ) |                    |
| Defendants.                | ) |                    |

#### OPINION, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court upon the motion of John Coleman (registration no. 60658), an inmate at St. Louis City Justice Center, for leave to commence this action without payment of the required filing fee. For the reasons stated below, the Court finds that plaintiff does not have sufficient funds to pay the entire filing fee and will assess an initial partial filing fee of \$1.63. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). Upon review of the first amended complaint, the Court finds that it fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. However, the Court will allow plaintiff to file a second amended complaint rather than dismissing the case at this time.

## 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1)

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1), a prisoner bringing a civil action in forma pauperis is required to pay the full amount of the filing fee. If the prisoner has insufficient funds in his or her prison account to pay the entire fee, the Court must

assess and, when funds exist, collect an initial partial filing fee of 20 percent of the greater of (1) the average monthly deposits in the prisoner's account, or (2) the average monthly balance in the prisoner's account for the prior six-month period. After payment of the initial partial filing fee, the prisoner is required to make monthly payments of 20 percent of the preceding month's income credited to the prisoner's account. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2). The agency having custody of the prisoner will forward these monthly payments to the Clerk of Court each time the amount in the prisoner's account exceeds \$10, until the filing fee is fully paid. <u>Id.</u>

Plaintiff has submitted an affidavit and a certified copy of his prison account statement for the six-month period immediately preceding the submission of his complaint. A review of plaintiff's account indicates an average monthly deposit of \$8.17, and an average monthly balance of \$0.15. Plaintiff has insufficient funds to pay the entire filing fee. Accordingly, the Court will assess an initial partial filing fee of \$1.63, which is 20 percent of plaintiff's average monthly deposit.

## 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), the Court must dismiss a complaint filed in forma pauperis if the action is frivolous, malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. An action is frivolous if it "lacks an arguable basis in either law or

fact." Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 328 (1989); Denton v. Hernandez, 504 U.S. 25, 31 (1992). An action is malicious if it is undertaken for the purpose of harassing the named defendants and not for the purpose of vindicating a cognizable right. Spencer v. Rhodes, 656 F. Supp. 458, 461-63 (E.D.N.C. 1987), aff'd 826 F.2d 1059 (4th Cir. 1987). A complaint fails to state a claim if it does not plead "enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007).

#### **The Amended Complaint**

Plaintiff brings this action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for alleged violation of his right to be free from cruel and unusual punishment. Named as defendants are Gene Stubblefield, Warden of the St. Louis City Justice Center (SLCJC); Sheila R. Troupe, a caseworker at SLCJC; Alisha Goosh, a mental health counselor at SLCJC; and Alex Noel, also a mental health counselor. In this action for monetary relief, plaintiff alleges that he suffers from schizophrenia and that he needs to be housed in a prison unit for special needs and also in protective custody. Plaintiff says he needs to be in protective custody because he has been an informer against several inmates. Plaintiff claims that defendant Troupe moved plaintiff from protective custody into a cell with two or three other inmates. Plaintiff says that defendant Noel had the authority to tell Troupe to move him back to protective custody but that he refused to do so. Plaintiff

asserts that Goosh put plaintiff into administrative segregation without clothes, a mattress, or a blanket for five days. And plaintiff says he wrote several letters to defendant Stubblefield that went unanswered.

#### **Discussion**

The complaint is silent as to whether defendants are being sued in their official or individual capacities. Where a "complaint is silent about the capacity in which [plaintiff] is suing defendant, [a district court must] interpret the complaint as including only official-capacity claims." Egerdahl v. Hibbing Community College, 72 F.3d 615, 619 (8th Cir. 1995); Nix v. Norman, 879 F.2d 429, 431 (8th Cir. 1989). Naming a government official in his or her official capacity is the equivalent of naming the government entity that employs the official. Will v. Michigan Dep't of State Police, 491 U.S. 58, 71 (1989). To state a claim against a municipality or a government official in his or her official capacity, plaintiff must allege that a policy or custom of the government entity is responsible for the alleged constitutional violation. Monell v. Dep't of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 690-91 (1978). The instant complaint does not contain any allegations that a policy or custom of a government entity was responsible for the alleged violations of plaintiff's constitutional rights. As a result, the complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

Because plaintiff is proceeding pro se, the Court will allow plaintiff to file an amended complaint. Plaintiff shall have thirty days from the date of this Order to file an amended complaint. Plaintiff is warned that the filing of an amended complaint replaces the original complaint, and claims that are not realleged are deemed abandoned. E.g., In re Wireless Telephone Federal Cost Recovery Fees Litigation, 396 F.3d 922, 928 (8th Cir. 2005). Further, plaintiff is instructed to draft his complaint legibly, so that it can be read easily. If plaintiff fails to file an amended complaint within thirty days, the Court will dismiss this action without prejudice.

Accordingly,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis [Doc. #5] is **GRANTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the plaintiff shall pay an initial filing fee of \$1.63 within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order. Plaintiff is instructed to make his remittance payable to "Clerk, United States District Court," and to include upon it: (1) his name; (2) his prison registration number; (3) the case number; and (4) that the remittance is for an original proceeding.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk shall not issue process or cause process to issue upon the complaint because the complaint is legally frivolous or fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, or both.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall mail to plaintiff a copy of

the Court's prisoner civil rights complaint form.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff shall file an amended complaint

no later than thirty (30) days from the date of this Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if plaintiff fails to comply with this Order,

the Court will dismiss this action without prejudice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's motion to expedite [Doc. #2] is

DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's motion to amend [Doc. #6] is

**GRANTED**.

Dated this 27th day of October, 2011.

HENRY EDWARD AUTREY

Lang Award Rung

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

-6-